



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

*Handwritten mark*

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/817,061	04/02/2004	Jin-Yub Lee	4591-365	2659

20575 7590 10/20/2006

MARGER JOHNSON & MCCOLLOM, P.C.  
210 SW MORRISON STREET, SUITE 400  
PORTLAND, OR 97204

EXAMINER

SCHLIE, PAUL W

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2186

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/817,061	LEE, JIN-YUB	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Paul W. Schlie	2186	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Paul W. Schlie (USPTO). (3) Derek Meeker (applicant's representative).  
 (2) \_\_\_\_\_. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 11 October 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: all, in particular newly introduced claims 20-26.

Identification of prior art discussed: as per record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an  
 Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: examiner indicated that although the method to correct errors utilizing the disclosed ecc coding method was not itself disclosed, it may be considered enabled if the disclosed coding method is itself considered sufficiently analogous to those generally known in the prior art that its use to correct errors may likely be correspondingly derived by one of ordinary skill without undue experimentation in view of the prior art although the specific encoding method disclosed is not itself explicitly taught by the art of record; and further although the art of record explicitly discloses a method/apparatus by which an ecc may be utilized to detect correctable errors in parallel to a data copy operation, as it's disclosed as being an intended improvement to an alternative implicit in-line method (as disclosed by the applicant) to reduce latency, both are considered taught by the art of record .



PIERRE BAILLE  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

10/12/02